

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/682,519	09/13/2001	Yu Wang	040489-0177	2614	
22428	7590 12/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			ROJAS, BERNARD		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20007		2832		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		. 09/682,519	WANG, YU			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	,	Bernard Rojas	2832			
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addre	!SS		
A SH WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed If HS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/1	9/2006.				
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)[, 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under &	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims		•			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-22,39 and 40 is/are pending in the	application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		•		
Applica	tion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		w the Evaminer			
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	1 121(4)		
11)						
,		rammer. Note the attached		102.		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National Sta	ige		
	application from the International Burea	, , , , ,				
-	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	eceived.			
				. (1		
Attachme	•	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15 	2)		
S Patent and	Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/682,519

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 9/19/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-22, 39 and 40 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hsieh [US 5,016,638].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7-11, 14, 19-21 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh [US 5,016,638] in view of van Oort [US 5,923,235].

Claim 1, Hsieh discloses a medical imaging device [10] located a on a vibration isolation system [74] mounted in the structure [72] of a building [col. 7 lines 20-25].

Hsieh fails to teach the use of an open clam-shell MRI magnet system.

van Oort discloses an open style, clam-shell MRI magnet system [figure 1].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the MRI as taught by van Oort since Whittaker et al. discloses that the vibration isolation system is used to isolate a structure from the vibrations of an MRI [abs].

Claims 7 and 19, Hsieh, as modified, discloses an MRI system, wherein the vibration isolation system is secured to a floor [72] and the MRI magnet system is attached over the vibration isolation system [figure 2].

Claims 8 and 20, Hsieh, as modified, discloses an MRI system, wherein the vibration isolation system is configured within a footprint of the MRI magnet system [figure 1].

Claim 9, Hsieh, as modified, discloses the MRI system of claim 1, further comprising a structural holder [18] positioned between the vibration isolation system and the MRI magnet system [figures 2, 3 and 5].

Claims 10 and 21, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the vibration isolation system as shown by Hsieh for a preexisting MRI magnet system by merely installing the isolation system into the floor and place the MRI onto the system.

Claim 11, Hsieh, as modified, discloses the MRI system of claim 10, wherein the vibration isolation system is mounted on posts [74] such that MRI magnet system supports do not contact a floor of a site where the MRI magnet system is located [figures 2 and 3].

Claim 14, van Oort discloses an open magnet assembly with a floor mount comprising:

- a first assembly mounted about a first longitudinally-extending and generally-vertically-aligned axis including.
 - at least one superconducting main coil (26) positioned around the axis; and

Art Unit: 2832

- a vacuum enclosure (24) enclosing the at least one superconductive main coil;
- a second assembly mounted about a second longitudinally-extending and generally-vertically-aligned axis coaxially aligned with the first axis and spaced longitudinally apart from and disposed below the first assembly, the second assembly including:
 - at least one superconducting main coil (30) positioned around the axis; and
- a vacuum enclosure (28) enclosing the at least one superconductive main coil; and
- at least one support beam (32) external to the first and second vacuum enclosures having a first end attached to the first assembly and a second end attached to the second assembly.

Claim 39, van Oort discloses the open MRI system of claim 1, wherein the open clam-shell MRI magnet system comprises a vertically aligned MRI magnet system [figure 1].

Claim 40, van Oort discloses the open MRI system of claim 39, wherein the vertically- aligned, open clam-shell MRI magnet system comprises: a first magnet assembly containing a first superconductive coil [24]; a second magnet assembly containing a second superconductive coil [30]; and only two support members [32] supporting the second magnet assembly over the first magnet assembly, wherein the two support members are not diametrically aligned to a diameter line of the first and the second magnet assemblies [figure 1].

Application/Control Number: 09/682,519

Art Unit: 2832

Claims 2-4, 12-13, I 5-17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103la) as being unpatentable over Hsieh [US 5,016,638] in view of van Oort [US 5,923,235], as applied to claims 1, 7-11, 14, 19-21 and 39-40 above, and further in view of Ohsaki [US 6,202,492].

Hsieh in view of van Oort discloses the instant claimed invention except for the isolators being adjustable and actively pneumatically controlled.

Ohsaki discloses a surface [6] being supported by adjustable actively controlled pneumatic isolators [4a-d, column 5, lines 1-12].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the isolator design of Ohsaki for the isolators of Whittaker et al. in view of van Oort for the purpose of accommodating variations in the operating environment.

The specific frequencies, Q-factors, bandwidth, etc. of the control would have been obvious design considerations based on the specific application and environment of use.

Claims 5-6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh [US 5,016,638] in view of van Oort [US 5,923,235], as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Braun [US 4,781,363].

Whittaker et al. in view of van Oort discloses the instant claimed invention except for the use of balance weights on the isolators.

Braun discloses the use of balance weights [9] mounted on an isolator.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,519

Art Unit: 2832

Page 6

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use balance weights on the isolators of Whittaker et al. in view of

van Oort, for the purpose of accommodating unexpected balance shifts.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:00), every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ELVIN ENAD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER